arXiv:1407.4551v2 [math.ST] 16 Jun 2015

Generalised matricvariate Pearson type II- distribution

José A. Díaz-García *
Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro
Calzada Antonio Narro 1923
25350 Buenavista, Saltillo, Coahuila, México
E-mail: jadiaz@uaaan.mx

Francisco J. Caro-Lopera
Department of Basic Sciences
Universidad de Medellín
Carrera 87 No.30-65, of. 5-103
Medellín, Colombia
E-mail: fjcaro@udem.edu.co

Abstract

The so called Pearson Type II-Riesz distribution, based on the Kotz-Riesz distribution is derived in this paper. Specifically, the central nonsingular matricvariate generalised Pearson type II-Riesz distribution and beta-Riesz type I distributions for real normed division algebras are obtained.

1 Introduction

Matrix distribution theory involves a number of interesting and applied approaches, from old to new settings, integrated and unified techniques have appear recently in literature.

For example, recall that if $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{U}_1$ are random matrices independently distributed as matrix multivariate normal distribution and a Whishart distribution, respectively; it is known that the random matrix $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{X}$, where $\mathbf{L}$ is any square root of $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$, has a matricvariate Pearson type II distribution. In the real case under normality, the *matricvariate Pearson type II distribution* (also known in the literature as *matricvariate inverted T distribution*) was studied in detail by Dickey (1967), see also Press (1982). This distribution was previously studied by Khatri (1959), also in the real case. For *real, complex, quaternion and octonion* cases this distribution was studied by Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2012).

In Bayesian inference, the matricvariate Pearson type II distribution is assumed as the sampling distribution; then, considering a noninformative prior distribution, the posterior distribution and marginal distributions, the posterior mean and generalised maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters involved are found, Fang and Li (1999). The matricvariate Pearson type II distribution appears in the frequentist approach to normal regression as the distribution of the Studentised error, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2006) and

*Corresponding author
Key words. Matricvariate, elliptical distribution, generalised inverted T distribution, nonsingular central distributions, real, complex, quaternion and octonion random matrices, beta-Riesz type I distributions.
2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. 60E05, 15A23, 15B33, 15A09, 15B52, 62E15.

Kotz and Nadarajah (2004). Another opportunities and potential studies concerns the role of the matricvariate Pearson type II distribution in multivariate analysis, because if the matrix $\mathbf{R}$ has a matricvariate Pearson type II distribution, then the matrix $\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}$ is distributed as matrix multivariate beta type I; and the distribution of the latter, in particular, plays a fundamental role in the MANOVA model, see Khatri (1959, 1970) and Muirhead (1982).

A family of distributions on symmetric cones, termed the *matrix multivariate Riesz distributions*, was first introduced by Hassairi (2001) under the name of Riesz natural exponential family (Riesz NEF); it was based on a special case of the so-termed Riesz measure from Faraut, and Korányi (1994, p. 137), going back to Riesz (1949). This Riesz distribution generalises the matrix multivariate gamma and Wishart distributions, containing them as particular cases. Subsequently, Díaz-García (2015c) and Díaz-García (2015a) proposes two versions of the Riesz distribution and two generalised of a class of type Kotz distributions. This last two generalised type Kotz distributions are termed *matrix multivariate Kotz-Riesz distribution* and generalise the matrix multivariate normal distribution, containing this as particular case.

In this point, we are able to propose a generalisation of the matrcvariate Pearson type II distribution. With this as aim, let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{L}^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{L}$ is a upper triangular matrix such that $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$, where now we shall assume that $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{U}_1$ are random matrices independently distributed as a matrix multivariate Kotz-Riesz distribution and a matrix multivariate Riesz distribution, respectively. Then, the distribution of $\mathbf{R}$ shall be termed *matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distribution*.

Although during the 90's and 2000's were obtained important results in theory of random matrices distributions, the past 30 years have reached a substantial development. Essentially, these advances have been archived through two approaches based on the *theory of Jordan algebras* and the *theory of real normed division algebras*. A basic source of the mathematical tools of theory of random matrices distributions under Jordan algebras can be found in Faraut, and Korányi (1994); and specifically, some works in the context of theory of random matrices distributions based on Jordan algebras are provided in Massam (1994), Casalis, and Letac (1996), Hassairi (2001), and Hassairi *et al.* (2005), and the references therein. Parallel results on theory of random matrices distributions based on real normed division algebras have been also developed in random matrix theory and statistics, see Gross and Richards (1987), Dumitriu (2002), Forrester (2005), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2011), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2013), among others. In addition, from mathematical point of view, several basic properties of the matrix multivariate Riesz distribution under *the structure theory of normal j-algebras* and under *theory of Vinberg algebras* in place of Jordan algebras have been studied, see Ishi (2000) and Boutouria and Hassiri (2009), respectively.

From a applied point of view, the relevance of *the octonions* remains unclear. An excellent review of the history, construction and many other properties of octonions is given in Baez (2002), where it is stated that:

> *"Their relevance to geometry was quite obscure until 1925, when Élie Cartan described 'triality' – the symmetry between vector and spinors in 8-dimensional Euclidian space. Their potential relevance to physics was noticed in a 1934 paper by Jordan, von Neumann and Wigner on the foundations of quantum mechanics...Work along these lines continued quite slowly until the 1980s, when it was realised that the octionions explain some curious features of string theory...* ***However, there is still no proof that the octonions are useful for understanding the real world****. We can only hope that eventually this question will be settled one way or another."*

For the sake of completeness, in the present article the case of octonions is considered, but the veracity of the results obtained for this case can only be conjectured. Nonetheless, Forrester (2005, Section 1.4.5, pp. 22-24) it is proved that the bi-dimensional density function of the eigenvalue, for a Gaussian ensemble of a 2×2 octonionic matrix, is obtained from the general joint density function of the eigenvalues for the Gaussian ensemble, assuming $m = 2$ and $\beta = 8$, see Section 2. Moreover, as is established in Faraut, and Korányi (1994) and Sawyer (1997) the result obtained in this article are valid for the *algebra of Albert*, that is when hermitian matrices ($\mathbf{S}$) or hermitian product of matrices ($\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$) are 3×3 octonionic matrices.

The present article is organised as follows; basic concepts and the notation of abstract algebra and Jacobians are summarised in Section 2. Then Section 3 derives the nonsingular central matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz and the beta type I distributions, and some basic properties are also studied. It should be noted that all these results are derived in the context of real normed division algebras, a useful integrated and unified approach recently implemented in matrix distribution theory.

2 Preliminary results

A detailed discussion of real normed division algebras may be found in Baez (2002) and Neukirch *et al.* (1990). For convenience, we shall introduce some notation, although in general we adhere to standard notation forms.

For our purposes: Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. An *algebra* $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is a pair $(\mathfrak{A}; m)$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a *finite-dimensional vector space* over $\mathbb{F}$ and *multiplication* $m : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \to A$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear map; that is, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, \lambda y + z) &= \lambda m(x; y) + m(x; z) \\ m(\lambda x + y; z) &= \lambda m(x; z) + m(y; z). \end{aligned}$$

Two algebras $(\mathfrak{A}; m)$ and $(\mathfrak{E}; n)$ over $\mathbb{F}$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there is an invertible map $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{E}$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\phi(m(x, y)) = n(\phi(x), \phi(y)).$$

By simplicity, we write $m(x; y) = xy$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be

1. *alternative* if $x(xy) = (xx)y$ and $x(yy) = (xy)y$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$,
2. *associative* if $x(yz) = (xy)z$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$,
3. *commutative* if $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, and
4. *unital* if there is a $1 \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $x1 = x = 1x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$.

If $\mathfrak{A}$ is unital, then the identity 1 is uniquely determined.

An algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is said to be a *division algebra* if $\mathfrak{A}$ is nonzero and $xy = 0_{\mathfrak{A}} \Rightarrow x = 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ or $y = 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$.

The term "division algebra", comes from the following proposition, which shows that, in such an algebra, left and right division can be unambiguously performed.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is a division algebra if, and only if, $\mathfrak{A}$ is nonzero and for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$, with $b \neq 0_{\mathfrak{A}}$, the equations $bx = a$ and $yb = a$ have unique solutions $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$.

In the sequel we assume $\mathbb{F} = \Re$ and consider classes of division algebras over $\Re$ or "*real division algebras*" for short.

We introduce the algebras of *real numbers* $\Re$, *complex numbers* $\mathfrak{C}$, *quaternions* $\mathfrak{H}$ and *octonions* $\mathfrak{O}$. Then, if $\mathfrak{A}$ is an alternative real division algebra, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $\Re$, $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{H}$ or $\mathfrak{O}$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a real division algebra with identity 1. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be *normed* if there is an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$(xy, xy) = (x, x)(y, y) \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a *real normed division algebra*, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic $\Re$, $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{H}$ or $\mathfrak{O}$.

There are exactly four normed division algebras: real numbers ($\Re$), complex numbers ($\mathfrak{C}$), quaternions ($\mathfrak{H}$) and octonions ($\mathfrak{O}$), see Baez (2002). We take into account that should be taken into account, $\Re$, $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{O}$ are the only normed division algebras; furthermore, they are the only alternative division algebras.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a division algebra over the real numbers. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has dimension either 1, 2, 4 or 8. In other branches of mathematics, the parameters $\alpha = 2/\beta$ and $t = \beta/4$ are used, see Edelman and Rao (2005) and Kabe (1984), respectively.

Finally, observe that

$\Re$ is a real commutative associative normed division algebras,

$\mathfrak{C}$ is a commutative associative normed division algebras,

$\mathfrak{H}$ is an associative normed division algebras,

$\mathfrak{O}$ is an alternative normed division algebras.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{n,m}^{\beta}$ be the set of all $n \times m$ matrices of rank $m \leq n$ over $\mathfrak{A}$ with m distinct positive singular values, where $\mathfrak{A}$ denotes a *real finite-dimensional normed division algebra*. Let $\mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}$ be the set of all $n \times m$ matrices over $\mathfrak{A}$. The dimension of $\mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}$ over $\Re$ is βmn. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}$, then $\mathbf{A}^* = \bar{\mathbf{A}}^T$ denotes the usual conjugate transpose.

Table 1 sets out the equivalence between the same concepts in the four normed division algebras.

Table 1: Notation

Real	Complex	Quaternion	Octonion	Generic notation
Semi-orthogonal	Semi-unitary	Semi-symplectic	Semi-exceptional type	$\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$
Orthogonal	Unitary	Symplectic	Exceptional type	$\mathfrak{U}^{\beta}(m)$
Symmetric	Hermitian	Quaternion hermitian	Octonion hermitian	$\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta}$

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta}$ the real vector space of all $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{A}^{m\times m}$ such that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^*$. In addition, let $\mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}$ be the *cone of positive definite matrices* $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{A}^{m\times m}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}$ consist of all matrices $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$, with $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{L}_{n,m}^{\beta}$; then $\mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta}$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_m^{\beta}$ consisting of all $\mathbf{D} \in \mathfrak{A}^{m\times m}$, $\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_m)$. Let $\mathfrak{T}_U^{\beta}(m)$ be the subgroup of all *upper triangular* matrices $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{A}^{m\times m}$ such that $t_{ij} = 0$ for $1 < i < j \leq m$.

For any matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}$, $d\mathbf{X}$ denotes the *matrix of differentials* (dx_{ij}). Finally, we define the *measure* or volume element $(d\mathbf{X})$ when $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}, \mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta}$, $\mathfrak{D}_m^{\beta}$ or $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2011) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2013).

If $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}$ then $(d\mathbf{X})$ (the Lebesgue measure in $\mathfrak{A}^{n\times m}$) denotes the exterior product of the βmn functionally independent variables

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\bigwedge_{j=1}^{m} dx_{ij} \quad \text{where} \quad dx_{ij} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} dx_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

If $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ (or $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ with $t_{ii} > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$) then $(d\mathbf{S})$ (the Lebesgue measure in $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ or in $\mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$) denotes the exterior product of the exterior product of the $m(m-1)\beta/2+m$ functionally independent variables,

$$(d\mathbf{S}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} ds_{ii} \bigwedge_{i>j}^{m} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} ds_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

Observe, that for the Lebesgue measure $(d\mathbf{S})$ defined thus, it is required that $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, that is, $\mathbf{S}$ must be a non singular Hermitian matrix (Hermitian definite positive matrix).

If $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathfrak{D}_m^\beta$ then $(d\mathbf{\Lambda})$ (the Legesgue measure in $\mathfrak{D}_m^\beta$) denotes the exterior product of the βm functionally independent variables

$$(d\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} d\lambda_i^{(k)}.$$

If $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$ then

$$(\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathbf{h}_j^* d\mathbf{h}_i.$$

where $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}_1^* | \mathbf{H}_2^*)^* = (\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_m | \mathbf{h}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_n)^* \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(n)$. It can be proved that this differential form does not depend on the choice of the $\mathbf{H}_2$ matrix. When $n = 1$; $\mathcal{V}_{m,1}^\beta$ defines the unit sphere in $\mathfrak{A}^m$. This is, of course, an $(m-1)\beta$- dimensional surface in $\mathfrak{A}^m$. When $n = m$ and denoting $\mathbf{H}_1$ by $\mathbf{H}$, $(\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{H}^*)$ is termed the *Haar measure* on $\mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)$.

The surface area or volume of the Stiefel manifold $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$ is

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta) = \int_{\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta} (\mathbf{H}_1 d\mathbf{H}_1^*) = \frac{2^m \pi^{mn\beta/2}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Gamma_m^\beta[a]$ denotes the multivariate *Gamma function* for the space $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$. This can be obtained as a particular case of the *generalised gamma function of weight* κ for the space $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ with $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \Re^m$, taking $\kappa = (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \Re^m$ and which for $\mathrm{Re}(a) \geq (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ is defined by, see Gross and Richards (1987) and Faraut, and Korányi (1994),

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa] &= \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \mathrm{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) (d\mathbf{A}) \qquad (2) \\ &= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma[a + k_i - (i-1)\beta/2] \\ &= [a]_\kappa^\beta \Gamma_m^\beta[a], \qquad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{etr}(\cdot) = \exp(\mathrm{tr}(\cdot))$, $|\cdot|$ denotes the determinant, and for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$

$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}_m|^{k_m} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} |\mathbf{A}_i|^{k_i - k_{i+1}} \tag{4}$$

with $\mathbf{A}_p = (a_{rs})$, $r, s = 1, 2, \ldots, p$, $p = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ is termed the *highest weight vector*, see Gross and Richards (1987). Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^\beta[a] &= \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \mathrm{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} (d\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma[a - (i-1)\beta/2], \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2$.

In other branches of mathematics the *highest weight vector* $q_\kappa(\mathbf{A})$ is also termed the *generalised power* of $\mathbf{A}$ and is denoted as $\Delta_\kappa(\mathbf{A})$, see Faraut, and Korányi (1994) and Hassairi (2001).

Additional properties of $q_\kappa(\mathbf{A})$, which are immediate consequences of the definition of $q_\kappa(\mathbf{A})$ are:

1. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{D}\mathbf{L}$ be the L'DL decomposition of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, where $\mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ with $l_{ii} = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then
$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{k_i}. \tag{5}$$

2. $$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = q^*_{-\kappa^*}(\mathbf{A}), \tag{6}$$
where $\kappa^* = (k_m, k_{m-1}, \ldots, k_1)$, $-\kappa^* = (-k_m, -k_{m-1}, \ldots, -k_1)$,
$$q^*_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}_m|^{k_m} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} |\mathbf{A}_i|^{k_i - k_{i+1}} \tag{7}$$
and
$$q^*_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{k_{m-i+1}}, \tag{8}$$
see Faraut, and Korányi (1994, pp. 126-127 and Proposition VII.1.5).

   Alternatively, let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{T}$ the Cholesky's decomposition of matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, with $\mathbf{T} = (t_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$, then $\lambda_i = t_{ii}^2$, $t_{ii} \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. See Hassairi (2001, p. 931, first paragraph), Hassairi *et al.* (2005, p. 390, lines -11 to -16) and Kołodziejek (2014, p.5, lines 1-6).

3. if $\kappa = (p, \ldots, p)$, then
$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}|^p, \tag{9}$$
   in particular if $p = 0$, then $q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 1$.

4. if $\tau = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m)$, $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq t_m \geq 0$, then
$$q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{A}) = q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) q_\tau(\mathbf{A}), \tag{10}$$
   in particular if $\tau = (p, p, \ldots, p)$, then
$$q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{A}) \equiv q_{\kappa+p}(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}|^p q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}). \tag{11}$$

5. Finally, for $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ in such a manner that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$,
$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) = q_\kappa(\mathbf{C}) q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) \tag{12}$$
   and
$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{B}^{*-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{-1}) = (q_\kappa(\mathbf{C}))^{-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = q_{-\kappa}(\mathbf{C}) q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}), \tag{13}$$
   see Hassairi *et al.* (2008, p. 776, eq. (2.1)).

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ denote the algebra of all polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$, and $\mathcal{P}_k(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree k and let $\mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ be an irreducible subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_k(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta) = \sum_\kappa \bigoplus \mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta).$$

Note that q_κ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k, moreover $q_\kappa \in \mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$, see Gross and Richards (1987).

In (3), $[a]_\kappa^\beta$ denotes the generalised Pochhammer symbol of weight κ, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
[a]_\kappa^\beta &= \prod_{i=1}^m (a-(i-1)\beta/2)_{k_i} \\
&= \frac{\pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4}\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma[a+k_i-(i-1)\beta/2]}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a]} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[a,\kappa]}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ and

$$(a)_i = a(a+1)\cdots(a+i-1),$$

is the standard Pochhammer symbol.

An alternative definition of the generalised gamma function of weight κ is proposed by Khatri (1966), which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_m^\beta[a,-\kappa] &= \int_{\mathbf{A}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \mathrm{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\}|\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1})(d\mathbf{A}) \qquad (14)\\
&= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4}\prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma[a-k_i-(m-i)\beta/2] \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k\Gamma_m^\beta[a]}{[-a+(m-1)\beta/2+1]_\kappa^\beta}, \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$.

In addition consider the following generalised beta functions termed, *generalised c-beta function*, see Faraut, and Korányi (1994, p. 130) and Díaz-García (2015b),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[a,\kappa;b,\tau] \\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{S}<\mathbf{I}_m} |\mathbf{S}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{S})|\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{S}|^{b-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\tau(\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{S})(d\mathbf{S}) \\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbf{R}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} |\mathbf{R}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{R})|\mathbf{I}_m+\mathbf{R}|^{-(a+b)} q_{-(\kappa+\tau)}(\mathbf{I}_m+\mathbf{R})(d\mathbf{R}) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[a,\kappa]\Gamma_m^\beta[b,\tau]}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a+b,\kappa+\tau]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \Re^m$, $\tau = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m) \in \Re^m$, $\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ and $\mathrm{Re}(b) > (m-1)\beta/2 - t_m$. Similarly is defined the *generalised k-beta function* as, see Díaz-García (2015b),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[a, -\kappa; b, -\tau] \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{S}<\mathbf{I}_m} |\mathbf{S}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{S}^{-1}) |\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{S}|^{b-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\tau\left((\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{S})^{-1}\right)(d\mathbf{S}) \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} |\mathbf{R}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{R}^{-1}) |\mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{R}|^{-(a+b)} q_{-(\kappa+\tau)}\left((\mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{R})^{-1}\right)(d\mathbf{R}) \\
&\quad = \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, -\kappa]\Gamma_m^\beta[b, -\tau]}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a+b, -\kappa-\tau]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \Re^m$, $\tau = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m) \in \Re^m$, $\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(b) > (m-1)\beta/2 + t_1$.

Finally, the following Jacobians involving the β parameter, reflects the generalised power of the algebraic technique; the can be seen as extensions of the full derived and unconnected results in the real, complex or quaternion cases, see Faraut, and Korányi (1994) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2011). These results are the base for several matrix and matric variate generalised analysis.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ *be matrices of functionally independent variables, and let* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AXB} + \mathbf{C}$*, where* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}_{n,n}^\beta$*,* $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,m}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ *are constant matrices. Then*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}|^{m\beta/2} |\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B}|^{mn\beta/2} (d\mathbf{X}). \tag{16}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ *be matrices of functionally independent variables, and let* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AXA}^* + \mathbf{C}$*, where* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,m}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ *are constant matrices. Then*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}|^{(m-1)\beta/2+1} (d\mathbf{X}). \tag{17}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ *be matrix of functionally independent variables, and write* $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{T}$*, where* $\mathbf{V}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{T} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ *with positive diagonal elements. Define* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$*. Then*

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = 2^{-m} |\mathbf{S}|^{\beta(n-m+1)/2-1} (d\mathbf{S})(\mathbf{V}_1^* d\mathbf{V}_1), \tag{18}$$

3 Matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distribution

Two versions of the matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distributions and the corresponding generalised beta type I distributions are obtained in this section.

A detailed discussion of Riesz distribution may be found in Hassairi (2001) and Díaz-García (2015a). In addition the Kotz-Riesz distribution is studied in detail in Díaz-García (2015b). For your convenience, we adhere to standard notation stated in Díaz-García (2015a,b) and consider the following two definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbf{\Phi}_m^\beta$, $\mathbf{\Theta} \in \mathbf{\Phi}_n^\beta$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathfrak{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \Re^m$. And let $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{B}) \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(n)$, such that $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{B})^* \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{B})$ is the Cholesky decomposition of $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$.

1. Then it is said that $\mathbf{Y}$ has a Kotz-Riesz distribution of type I and its density function is

$$\frac{\beta^{mn\beta/2+\sum_{i=1}^{m}k_i}\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2,\kappa]|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{n\beta/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{m\beta/2}} \times \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\beta\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\} \times q_\kappa\left[\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{*-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{-1}\right](d\mathbf{Y}) \tag{19}$$

with $\operatorname{Re}(n\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$; denoting this fact as

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{KR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(\kappa,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{\Theta},\mathbf{\Sigma}).$$

2. Then it is said that $\mathbf{Y}$ has a Kotz-Riesz distribution of type II and its density function is

$$\frac{\beta^{mn\beta/2-\sum_{i=1}^{m}k_i}\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2,-\kappa]|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{n\beta/2}|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{m\beta/2}} \times \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\beta\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\} \times q_\kappa\left[\left(\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{*-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{-1/2}\right)^{-1}\right](d\mathbf{Y}) \tag{20}$$

with $\operatorname{Re}(n\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$; denoting this fact as

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{KR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,II}(\kappa,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{\Theta},\mathbf{\Sigma}).$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathbf{\Xi} \in \mathbf{\Phi}_m^\beta$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \Re^m$.

1. Then it is said that $\mathbf{V}$ has a Riesz distribution of type I if its density function is

$$\frac{\beta^{am+\sum_{i=1}^{m}k_i}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a,\kappa]|\mathbf{\Xi}|^a q_\kappa(\mathbf{\Xi})}\operatorname{etr}\{-\beta\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}\mathbf{V}\}|\mathbf{V}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1}q_\kappa(\mathbf{V})(d\mathbf{V}) \tag{21}$$

for $\mathbf{V} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ and $\operatorname{Re}(a) \geq (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$; denoting this fact as $\mathbf{V} \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,I}(a,\kappa,\mathbf{\Xi})$.

2. Then it is said that $\mathbf{V}$ has a Riesz distribution of type II if its density function is

$$\frac{\beta^{am-\sum_{i=1}^{m}k_i}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a,-\kappa]|\mathbf{\Xi}|^a q_\kappa(\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1})}\operatorname{etr}\{-\beta\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}\mathbf{V}\}|\mathbf{V}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1}q_\kappa(\mathbf{V}^{-1})(d\mathbf{V}) \tag{22}$$

for $\mathbf{V} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ and $\operatorname{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$; denoting this fact as $\mathbf{V} \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,II}(a,\kappa,\mathbf{\Xi})$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \Re^m$, and $\tau = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m) \in \Re^m$. Also define $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ as*

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{L}^{-1},$$

where $\mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ is such that $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$ is the Cholesky decomposition of $\mathbf{U}$,

1. with $\mathbf{U}_1 \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,I}(\nu\beta/2,\kappa,\mathbf{I}_m)$, $\operatorname{Re}(\nu\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$; independent of $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{KR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(\tau,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}_n,\mathbf{I}_m)$, $\operatorname{Re}(n\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 - t_m$. Then $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,I}((\nu+n)\beta/2,\kappa+\tau,\mathbf{I}_m)$ independent of $\mathbf{R}$ with $\operatorname{Re}((\nu+n)\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m - t_m$. Furthermore, the density of $\mathbf{R}$ is

$$\frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]\quad|\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2,\kappa;n\beta/2,\tau]}\; q_\kappa\left(\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\right)q_\tau\left(\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\right)(d\mathbf{R}), \tag{23}$$

which is shall be termed the matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distribution type I, *where $\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$.*

2. *with* $\mathbf{U}_1 \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,II}(\nu\beta/2, \kappa, \mathbf{I}_m)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\nu\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$*; independent of* $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{KR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,II}(\tau, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{I}_m)$, $\mathrm{Re}(n\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 + t_1$. *Then* $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,II}((\nu+n)\beta/2, \kappa + \tau, \mathbf{I}_m)$ *independent of* $\mathbf{R}$ *with* $\mathrm{Re}((\nu+n)\beta/2) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1 + t_1$. *Furthermore, the density of* $\mathbf{R}$ *is*

$$\frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2] \quad |\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, -\kappa; n\beta/2, -\tau]} \; q_\kappa\left[(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R})^{-1}\right]$$

$$\times \; q_\tau\left[(\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R})^{-1}\right](d\mathbf{R}), \qquad (24)$$

which is shall be termed the matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distribution type II*, where* $\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$.

Proof. 1. From definitions 3.1 and 3.2, the joint density of $\mathbf{U}_1$ and $\mathbf{X}$ is

$$\propto |\mathbf{U}_1|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1} \,\mathrm{etr}\{-\beta\,(\mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X})\} q_\kappa(\mathbf{U}_1) q_\tau\,(\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X})\,(d\mathbf{U}_1)(d\mathbf{X}),$$

where the constant of proportionality given by

$$c = \frac{\beta^{\nu m\beta/2+\sum_{i=1}^m k_i}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, \kappa]} \;\cdot\; \frac{\beta^{mn\beta/2+\sum_{i=1}^m t_i}\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2, \tau]}.$$

Making the change of variable $\mathbf{U}_1 = (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{RL}$, where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{L}$, then by (16)

$$(d\mathbf{U}_1)(d\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{L}|^{n\beta/2}(d\mathbf{U})(d\mathbf{R}) = |\mathbf{U}|^{n\beta/2}(d\mathbf{U})(d\mathbf{R}),$$

and observing that $|\mathbf{U}_1| = |\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}| = |\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{RL}| = |\mathbf{U}||\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}|$, the joint density of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ is

$$\propto |\mathbf{U}|^{(\nu+n-m+1)\beta/2-1} \,\mathrm{etr}\,\{-\beta\mathbf{U}\}\, q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{U})|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}$$

$$\times q_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R})\; q_\tau\,(\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R})\,(d\mathbf{U})(d\mathbf{R}).$$

Finally, note that the joint density of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ is

$$= \frac{\beta^{(\nu+n)m\beta/2+\sum_{i=1}^m(k_i+t_i)}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[(\nu+n)\beta/2, \kappa+\tau]}|\mathbf{U}|^{(\nu+n-m+1)\beta/2-1} \,\mathrm{etr}\,\{-\beta\mathbf{U}\}\, q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{U})(d\mathbf{U})$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, \kappa]\;|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, \kappa; n\beta/2, \tau]} q_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R})\; q_\tau\,(\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R})\,(d\mathbf{R})$$

which shows that $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{R}_m^{\beta,I}((\nu+n)\beta/2, \kappa+\tau, \mathbf{I}_m)$ and is independent of $\mathbf{R}$.

2. Its proof is similar to given for item 1. □ □

An alternative way to define the matricvariate Pearson typeII-Riesz distributions is collected in the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let* $\kappa_1 = (k_{11}, k_{12}, \ldots, k_{1n}) \in \Re^n$*, and* $\tau_1 = (t_{11}, t_{12}, \ldots, t_{1n}) \in \Re^n$*. Also define* $\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{n,m}^\beta$ *as*

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1}\mathbf{Y},$$

with $\mathbf{L}_1^* \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(n)$ *is such that* $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{LL}^* = \mathbf{V}_1 + \mathbf{YY}^*$ *is the Cholesky decomposition of* $\mathbf{V}$*,*

1. *where* $\mathbf{V}_1 \sim \mathcal{R}_n^{\beta,I}(a\beta/2, \kappa_1, \mathbf{I}_n)$, $\mathrm{Re}(a\beta/2) > (n-1)\beta/2 - k_{1n}$; *independent of* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^* \sim \mathcal{KR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(\tau_1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{I}_m)$, $\mathrm{Re}(m\beta/2) > (n-1)\beta/2 - t_{1n}$. *Then* $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{R}_n^{\beta,I}((a+m)\beta/2, \kappa_1+\tau_1, \mathbf{I}_n)$ *independent of* $\mathbf{R}$ *with* $\mathrm{Re}((a+m)\beta/2) > (n-1)\beta/2 - k_{1n} - t_{1n}$. *Furthermore, the density of* $\mathbf{R}$ *is*

$$\frac{\Gamma_n^\beta[m\beta/2] \quad |\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*|^{(a-n+1)\beta/2-1}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_n^\beta[a\beta/2, \kappa_1; n\beta/2, \tau_1]} q_{\kappa_1}\left(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*\right) q_{\tau_1}\left(\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*\right)(d\mathbf{R}_1), \tag{25}$$

which is shall be termed the matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distribution type I, *where* $\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^* \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$.

2. *where* $\mathbf{V}_1 \sim \mathcal{R}_n^{\beta,II}(a\beta/2, \kappa_1, \mathbf{I}_n)$, $\mathrm{Re}(\nu\beta/2) > (n-1)\beta/2 + k_{11}$; *independent of* $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^* \sim \mathcal{KR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,II}(\tau_1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{I}_m)$, $\mathrm{Re}(m\beta/2) > (n-1)\beta/2 + t_{11}$. *Then* $\mathbf{V} \sim \mathcal{R}_n^{\beta,II}((a+m)\beta/2, \kappa_1+\tau_1, \mathbf{I}_n)$ *independent of* $\mathbf{R}$ *with* $\mathrm{Re}((a+m)\beta/2) > (n-1)\beta/2 + k_{11} + t_{11}$. *Furthermore, the density of* $\mathbf{R}$ *is*

$$\frac{\Gamma_n^\beta[m\beta/2] \quad |\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*|^{(a-n+1)\beta/2-1}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_n^\beta[a\beta/2, -\kappa_1; n\beta/2, -\tau_1]} q_{\kappa_1}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*\right)^{-1}\right] \times q_{\tau_1}\left[\left(\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*\right)^{-1}\right](d\mathbf{R}_1), \tag{26}$$

which is shall be termed the matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distribution type II, *where* $\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^* \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$.

Proof. The proof is a verbatim copy of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Alternatively, observe that densities (25) and (26) can be obtained from densities (23) and (24), respectively, making the following substitutions,

$$\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}_1^* \quad m \to n, \quad n \to m, \quad \nu \to a, \tag{27}$$

and thus, $\kappa \to \kappa_1$, $\quad \tau \to \tau_1$, and $k_i \to k_{1i} \quad t_i \to t_{1i}$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let* $\mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Omega})^{-1}\mathbf{R}\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Xi}) + \boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\mathbf{R}$ *as in Theorem 3.1, and* $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Omega}) \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(n)$ *and* $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Xi}) \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ *are constant matrices such that* $\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Omega})^*\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Omega}) \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ *and* $\mathbf{\Xi} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Xi})^*\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Xi}) \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$, *respectively, and* $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^\beta$ *is constant.*

1. *Then, from (23) the density of* $\mathbf{Q}$ *is*

$$\propto |\mathbf{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1} \times q_\kappa\left[\mathbf{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right] q_\tau\left[(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right](d\mathbf{Q}),$$

with constant of proportionality

$$\frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]|\mathbf{\Omega}|^{m\beta/2}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, \kappa; n\beta/2, \tau]|\mathbf{\Xi}|^{(\nu+n-m+1)\beta/2-1} q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{\Xi})}$$

where $\mathbf{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$. *This fact is denoted as*

$$\mathbf{Q} \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{II}}\mathcal{R}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(\nu, \kappa, \tau, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{\Xi}).$$

2. *And from (24) the density of* $\mathbf{Q}$ *is*

$$\propto |\boldsymbol{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}$$

$$\times\ q_\kappa\left[(\boldsymbol{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu}))^{-1}\right] q_\tau\left[((\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu}))^{-1}\right](d\mathbf{Q}),$$

with constant of proportionality

$$\frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[n\beta/2]|\boldsymbol{\Omega}|^{m\beta/2}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2,-\kappa;n\beta/2,-\tau]|\boldsymbol{\Xi}|^{(\nu+n-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa+\tau}\left(\boldsymbol{\Xi}^{-1}\right)}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$. *This fact is denoted as*

$$\mathbf{Q} \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{II}}\mathcal{R}_{m\times n}^{\beta,II}(\nu,\kappa,\tau,\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Omega},\boldsymbol{\Xi}).$$

Proof. 1. The proof follows from (23) and (24), respectively, observing that, by (16)

$$(d\mathbf{R}) = |\boldsymbol{\Omega}|^{m\beta/2}|\boldsymbol{\Xi}|^{-n\beta/2}(d\mathbf{Q}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}) &= (\mathbf{I}_m - \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^{*-1}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^*\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^{-1}) \\ &= \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^{*-1}(\boldsymbol{\Xi} - (\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{Q}-\boldsymbol{\mu}))\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

2. This is similar to the given to item 1. □ □

Next some basic properties of the matricvariate Pearson type II-Riesz distributions are studied.

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})\mathbf{R}\,\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\mathbf{R}$ *as in Corollary 3.1, and* $\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^* \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(n)$ *and* $\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^* \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ *are constant matrices such that* $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^* \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\Xi} = \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^* \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$, *respectively, and* $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^\beta$ *is constant.*

1. *From (25) the density of* $\mathbf{Q}_1$ *is*

$$\propto |\boldsymbol{\Omega} - (\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*|^{(a-n+1)\beta/2-1}\, q_{\kappa_1}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega} - (\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\right]$$

$$\times\ q_{\tau_1}\left[(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\right](d\mathbf{Q}_1),$$

with constant of proportionality

$$\frac{\Gamma_n^\beta[m\beta/2]|\boldsymbol{\Xi}|^{n\beta/2}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_n^\beta[a\beta/2,\kappa_1;m\beta/2,\tau_1]|\boldsymbol{\Omega}|^{(a+m-n+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa_1+\tau_1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Omega} - (\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*) \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$. *This fact is denoted as*

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{II}}\mathcal{R}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(a,\kappa_1,\tau_1,\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Omega},\boldsymbol{\Xi}).$$

2. *Similarly, from (26) the density of* $\mathbf{Q}_1$ *is*

$$\propto |\boldsymbol{\Omega} - (\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*|^{(a-n+1)\beta/2-1}\, q_{\kappa_1}\left[(\boldsymbol{\Omega} - (\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*)^{-1}\right]$$

$$\times\ q_{\tau_1}\left[((\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*)^{-1}\right](d\mathbf{Q}_1),$$

with constant of proportionality

$$\frac{\Gamma_n^\beta[m\beta/2]|\boldsymbol{\Xi}|^{n\beta/2}}{\pi^{mn\beta/2}\mathcal{B}_n^\beta[a\beta/2,-\kappa_1;m\beta/2,-\tau_1]|\boldsymbol{\Omega}|^{(a+m-n+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa_1+\tau_1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1})}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}-(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*)\in\mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$. *This fact is denoted as*

$$\mathbf{Q}_1\sim\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{II}}\mathcal{R}_{m\times n}^{\beta,II}(a,\kappa,\tau,\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Omega},\boldsymbol{\Xi}).$$

Proof. 1. The proof follows from (25) and (26), respectively, observing that, by (16)

$$(d\mathbf{R}_1)=|\boldsymbol{\Omega}|^{-m\beta/2}|\boldsymbol{\Xi}|^{n\beta/2}(d\mathbf{Q}_1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{I}_n-\mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*) &= (\mathbf{I}_m-\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Xi})^*(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{*-1})\\ &= \mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}-(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{Q}_1-\boldsymbol{\mu})^*)\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{*-1}.\end{aligned}$$

2. This is similar to the given to item 1. □ □

Now c-beta-Riesz type I and k-beta-Riesz type I distributions are obtained, see Díaz-García (2015b). Let $n\geq m$ and let $\mathbf{B}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ defined as $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}$ then, under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{L}^{*-1}\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}\mathbf{L}^{-1}=\mathbf{L}^{*-1}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{L}^{-1}$$

where $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{L}\in\mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ is such that $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{L}^*\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{U}_1+\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$ is the Cholesky decomposition of $\mathbf{U}$. Therefore:

Theorem 3.2. *1. Assuming that* $\mathbf{R}\sim\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{II}}\mathcal{R}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(\nu,\kappa,\tau,\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{I}_n,\boldsymbol{I}_m)$. *Then, the density of* $\mathbf{B}$, *such that* $\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ *is*

$$\frac{|\mathbf{B}|^{(n-m+1)\beta/2-1}}{\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2,\kappa;n\beta/2,\tau]}|\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_\kappa(\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B})q_\tau(\mathbf{B})(d\mathbf{B}). \tag{28}$$

$\mathbf{B}$ *is said to have a* matricvariate c-beta-Riesz type I distribution.

2. Suppose that $\mathbf{R}\sim\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{II}}\mathcal{R}_{n\times m}^{\beta,II}(\nu,\kappa,\tau,\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{I}_n,\boldsymbol{I}_m)$. *Then the density of* $\mathbf{B}$, *such that* $\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B}\in\mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ *is*

$$\frac{|\mathbf{B}|^{(n-m+1)\beta/2-1}}{\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2,-\kappa;n\beta/2,-\tau]}|\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_\kappa[(\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B})^{-1}] \tag{29}$$

$$\times\, q_\tau[(\mathbf{B})^{-1}](d\mathbf{B}).$$

$\mathbf{B}$ *is said to have a* matricvariate k-beta-Riesz type I distribution.

Proof. 1.From (23) the density function of $\mathbf{R}$ is

$$\propto|\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}\,q_\kappa\left(\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\right)q_\tau\left(\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\right)(d\mathbf{R}).$$

Now make the change of variable $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}$, so that

$$(d\mathbf{R})=2^{-m}|\mathbf{B}|^{(n-m+1)\beta/2-1}(d\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{V}_1^*d\mathbf{V}_1),$$

with $\mathbf{V}_1\in\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$. The joint density of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{V}_1$ is then

$$\propto|\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B}|^{(\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}\,q_\kappa\left(\mathbf{I}_m-\mathbf{B}\right)q_\tau\left(\mathbf{B}\right)|\mathbf{B}|^{(n-m+1)\beta/2-1}(d\mathbf{R})(\mathbf{V}_1^*d\mathbf{V}_1).$$

Integrating with respect to $\mathbf{V}_1$ using (1), gives the stated marginal density of $\mathbf{B}$.

2. This is obtained in a similar way to the gives in item 1. □ □

In addition, assume that $n < m$ and let $\mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$ defined as $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{R}_1\mathbf{R}_1^*$ then, under the conditions of Corollary 3.1 we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^*\mathbf{L}_1^{*-1} = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1}\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{L}_1^{*-1},$$

where $\mathbf{W}_1 = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^*$. Hence:

Theorem 3.3. *1. Assuming that* $\mathbf{R} \sim \mathcal{PIIR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,I}(a, \kappa_1, \tau_1, \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n, \boldsymbol{I}_m)$. *Then, the density of* $\mathbf{B}_1$ *is*

$$\frac{|\mathbf{B}_1|^{(m-n+1)\beta/2-1}}{\mathcal{B}_n^\beta[a\beta/2, \kappa_1; m\beta/2, \tau_1]}|\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}_1|^{(a-n+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa_1}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}_1)q_{\tau_1}(\mathbf{B}_1)(d\mathbf{B}_1), \tag{30}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$, *also, we say that* $\mathbf{B}_1$ *has a* matricvariate c-beta-Riesz type I distribution.

2. Similarly, assuming that $\mathbf{R} \sim \mathcal{PIIR}_{n\times m}^{\beta,II}(a, \kappa_1, \tau_1, \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n, \boldsymbol{I}_m)$. *Then the density of* $\mathbf{B}_1$ *is*

$$\frac{|\mathbf{B}_1|^{(m-n+1)\beta/2-1}}{\mathcal{B}_n^\beta[a\beta/2, -\kappa_1; m\beta/2, -\tau_1]}|\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}_1|^{(a-n+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa_1}[(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}_1)^{-1}] \tag{31}$$

$$\times\, q_{\tau_1}[(\mathbf{B}_1)^{-1}](d\mathbf{B}_1),$$

where $\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathfrak{P}_n^\beta$. *We say that* $\mathbf{B}_1$ *has a* matricvariate k-beta-Riesz type I distribution.

Proof. The proof is the same as that given in Theorem 3.2. □

Alternatively, observe that densities (30) and (31) can be obtained from densities (28) and (29), respectively, making the following substitutions

$$\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B}_1, \quad m \to n, \quad n \to m, \quad \nu \to a, \tag{32}$$

and consequently $\kappa \to \kappa_1, \quad \tau \to \tau_1$, and $k_i \to k_{1i} \quad t_i \to t_{1i}$. □

To end this section, below are obtained the non-standardised densities of the c-, and k-beta distributions.

Corollary 3.4. *Define* $\mathbf{C} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Theta})^*\mathbf{B}\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Theta})$, *where* $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Theta}) \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ *is such that* $\mathbf{\Theta} = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Theta})^*\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{\Theta})$ *is the Cholesky decomposition of* $\mathbf{\Theta}$.

1. Assuming that $\mathbf{B}$ *has the density (28), then the density of random matrix* $\mathbf{C}$ *is*

$$\propto |\mathbf{C}|^{(n-m+1)\beta/2-1}|\mathbf{\Theta} - \mathbf{C}|^{\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_\kappa(\mathbf{\Theta} - \mathbf{C})q_\tau(\mathbf{C})(d\mathbf{C}), \tag{33}$$

with constant of proportionally

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, \kappa; n\beta/2, \tau]|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{(\nu+n-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{\Theta})},$$

for $\mathbf{\Theta} - \mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$.

2. Supposing that $\mathbf{B}$ *has the density (29), then the density of random matrix* $\mathbf{C}$ *is*

$$|\mathbf{C}|^{(n-m+1)\beta/2-1}|\mathbf{\Theta} - \mathbf{C}|^{\nu-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_\kappa[(\mathbf{\Theta} - \mathbf{C})^{-1}]q_\tau(\mathbf{C}^{-1})(d\mathbf{C}), \tag{34}$$

with constant of proportionally

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_m^\beta[\nu\beta/2, -\kappa; n\beta/2, -\tau]|\mathbf{\Theta}|^{(\nu+n-m+1)\beta/2-1}q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{\Theta}^{-1})},$$

for $\mathbf{\Theta} - \mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$.

Proof. This immediate from (17). □

Conclusions

Undoubtedly, in any generalisation of results there is a price to be paid, and in this case the price is that of acquiring a basic understanding of some concepts of abstract algebra, which can initially be summarised as the use of notation and a basic minimum set of definitions. However, we believe that a detailed study of mathematical properties from a statistical standpoint can have a potential impact on statistical theory. Furthermore, some statistical results in the literature have been studied. For example Micheas *et al.* (2006) address the problem of point estimation of parameters in complex shape theory. Also, Khatri (1965) considered the estimation of parameters of a complex matrix multivariate normal distribution and establishes a test of hypotheses about the mean. In a quaternionic context, Bhavsar (2000) set test statistics and their corresponding asymptotic distributions for two particular hypothesis tests. As noted by the reviewer, the statistical results in Muirhead (1982) and Fang, and Zhang (1990) can be extended, and in fact are being extended to the case of real normed division algebras, but first they needed to study various preliminary results, including those obtained in this work.

Acknowledgements

This article was written under the existing research agreement between the first author and the Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, Saltillo, México. The second author was supported by a joint research project among University of Medellin, University of Toulouse and University of Bordeaux, France.

References

Baez, J. C. (2002). The octonions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39: 145 – 205.

Bhavsar, C. D. (2000). Asymptotic distributions of likelihood ratio criteria for two testing problems, Kybernetes 29: 510 – 517.

Boutouria, I., and Hassiri, A. (2009). Riesz exponential families on homogeneous cones, `http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1892`. Also submitted.

Casalis, M., and Letac, G. (1996). The Lukascs-Olkin-Rubin characterization of Wishart distributions on symmetric cones, Ann. Statist. 24: 768–786.

Díaz-García, J. A. (2015a). Distributions on symmetric cones I: Riesz distribution. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1746v2`.

Díaz-García, J. A. (2015b) Distributions on symmetric cones II: Beta-Riesz distributions, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.4525v2`. Also submitted.

Díaz-García, J. A. (2015c). A generalised Kotz type distribution and Riesz distribution, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1304v2`. Also submitted.

Díaz-García, J. A., and Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R. (2006). The distribution of the residual from a general elliptical multivariate linear regression model, J. Multivariate Anal. 97: 1829 – 1841.

Díaz-García, J. A., and Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R. (2011). On Wishart distribution: Some extensions, Linear Algebra Appl. 435: 1296 – 1310.

Díaz-García, J. A., and Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R. (2012). Matricvariate and matrix multivariate pearson type II distributions and related distributions, South African Statist, J. 46: 31 – 52.

Díaz-García, J. A., and Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R. (2013). Spherical ensembles, Linear Algebra Appl. 438: 3174 – 3201.

Dickey, J. M. (1967). Matricvariate generalizations of the multivariate t- distribution and the inverted multivariate t-distribution, Ann. Math.Statist. 38: 511 – 518.

Dumitriu, I. (2002). Eigenvalue statistics for beta-ensembles, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.

Edelman, A., and Rao, R. R. (2005). Random matrix theory, Acta Numer. 14: 233 – 297.

Neukirch, J., Prestel A., and Remmert, R. (1990). Numbers, GTM/RIM 123, H.L.S. Orde, tr., Springer, New York

Fang, K. T., and Li, R. (1999). Bayesian statistical inference on elliptical matrix distributions, J. Multivariate Anal. 70: 66 – 285.

Fang, K. T., and Zhang, Y. T. (1990). Generalized Multivariate Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Faraut, J., and Korányi, A. (1994). Analysis on symmetric cones, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Forrester, P. J. (2005). Log-gases and random matrices, `http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/matpjf.html`, to appear.

Gross, K. I., and Richards, D. ST. P. (1987). Special functions of matrix argument I: Algebraic induction zonal polynomials and hypergeometric functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301: 478 – 501.

Hassairi, A., and Lajmi. S. (2001). Riesz exponential families on symmetric cones, J. Theoret. Probab. 14: 927 – 948.

Hassairi, A., Lajmi, S.,and Zine, R. (2005). Beta-Riesz distributions on symmetric cones, J. Satatist. Plan. Inference 133: 387 – 404.

Hassairi, A., Lajmi, S., and Zine, R. (2008). A chacterization of the Riesz probability distribution, J. Theoret. Probab. 21, 773-790.

Ishi, H. (2000). Positive Riesz distributions on homogeneous cones, J. Math. Soc. Japan 52(1): 161 – 186.

Kabe, D. G. (1984). Classical statistical analysis based on a certain hypercomplex multivariate normal distribution, Metrika 31: 63 – 76.

Khatri, C. G. (1959). On the mutual independence of certain statistics, Ann. Math. Statist. 30(4): 1258–1262.

Khatri, C. G. (1965) Classical statistical analysis based on a certain multivariate complex Gaussian distribution, Ann. Math. Statist. 36(1): 98–114.

Khatri, C. G. (1966). On certain distribution problems based on positive definite quadratic functions in normal vector, Ann. Math. Statist. 37: 468 – 479.

Khatri, C. G. (1970). A note on Mitra's paper "A density free approach to the matrix variate beta distribution", Sankyā A 32: 311 – 318.

Kołodziejek, B. (2014). The Lukacs-Olkin-Rubin theorem on symmetric cones without invariance of the "Quotient", J. Theoret. Probab., DOI 10.1007/s10959-014-0587-3.

Kotz, S., and Nadarajah, S. (2004). Multivariate t Distributions and Their Applications, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.

Massam, H. (1994). An exact decomposition theorem and unified view of some related distributions for a class of exponential transformation models on symmetric cones, Ann. Statist. 22(1): 369–394.

Micheas, A. C., Dey, D. K., and Mardia, K. V. (2006). Complex elliptical distribution with application to shape theory, J. Statist. Plan. Inference 136: 2961–2982.

Muirhead, R. J. (1982). Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Press, S. J. (1982). Applied Multivariate Analysis: Using Bayesian and Frequentist Methods of Inference, Second Edition, Robert E. Krieger, Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida.

Riesz, M. (1949). L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy, Acta Math. 81: 1 – 23.

Sawyer, P. (1997). Spherical Functions on Symmetric Cones, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349: 3569 – 3584.